III. Risks and Harms Coverage

A. In General

1. Basic Principles

§ 87. Liability of automobile liability insurer as affected by policy and law

The extent of an automobile liability insurer's coverage depends in the first instance on such mandatory statutory provisions as are incorporated into the insurance policy by operation of law and, in the second instance, on the terms of the policy itself.\(^1\) In determining whether insurance coverage is provided by a particular automobile liability insurance policy, careful attention must be given to the type of coverage, the relevant statutory provisions, and the terms of the policy.\(^2\)

An exclusion in an automobile insurance policy excludes coverage under certain delineated circumstances.\(^3\) Exceptions to coverage in an automobile insurance policy are not generally permitted unless expressly allowed by statute.\(^4\) In this regard, restrictions, exclusions, or other clauses that excuse or reduce benefits below the minimum statutorily required levels or types of automobile insurance, and are not expressly authorized by the legislature, are invalid, as are any clauses that are against public policy.\(^5\) However, while public policy prevents an automobile liability insurance policy from containing exclusions not specifically authorized by the legislature, an exclusionary clause is not per se invalid simply because it is not specifically
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An automobile insurance policy's territorial limitation, which clearly and unambiguously limits the territory of coverage to the United States, its territories and possessions, Puerto Rico, and Canada, does not violate public policy. Similarly, an automobile accident that occurred approximately 190 miles from the insured's base of operation was not covered under a commercial automobile policy that covered accidents occurring within a 100-mile radius of the base of operations.

The known-loss doctrine precludes coverage for an accident under an automobile insurance policy, even though the policy is reinstated effective earlier on the day of the accident, where the policy had been cancelled for nonpayment of the premium, and the insured calls to reinstate the policy after the accident, but does not inform the insurer of the accident.

Footnotes